Director of Central Intelligence Security Committee Computer Security Subcommittee

15 October 1980 DCISEC-CSS-M134

>T	1. The one hundred and thirty-fourth meeting of the Computer Security					
STAT	Subcommittee was held on 2 October 1980 at McLean, VA. The meeting was convened at 0930, and attending were:					
STAT	Chairman					
SIAI	Executive Secretary					
STAT	CIA					
STAT	CIA Alternate					
STAT	CIA Alternate					
IAI	, DIA Alternate					
SIAI	NSA Alternate					
	Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice					
	Mr. Lynn Culkowski, Air Force					
	Mr. James Studer, Army					
) T A T	Colonel Roger Miller, Army					
STAT	NSA Consultant					
	The minutes of the last meeting (24 July 1980) were reviewed and accepted as written.					
	3. The chairman inquired about the progress of the discussions on					
STAT	applications of It was reported that NSA had received a request					
	from CIA to review several potential applications, and that detailed					
	discussions were being scheduled. The chairman expressed a specific					
STAT	interest in any applications of developed for the Delta Data 7260.					
STAT	briefed the Subcommittee on the progress of the rewrite					
517(1	of DCID 1/16. He discussed the rewrite team's current thinking on the					
	structure of the technical manual, which is being patterned after a					
	similar NSA document, NSA Manual 90-4. He then reviewed some basic					
	definitions (i.e., outsider, trusted person, system supporter), and the					
	generic threats (i.e., acquisition of data, deception, denial of service)					
	upon which the technical manual is based. As an example of what the					
` T	finished document will look like, he distributed a sample entry covering					
STAT	the scenarios for unauthorized acquisition of information.					
	questioned the basic approach of writing the technical manual, defining					
	terms and establishing threat scenarios, as a first step. He felt that					
	we should first address the basic policy statements which were necessary. There was considerable discussion of this issue and the relative merits					
	of each approach. The arguments can be roughly summarized as					
	follows:					
	(a) The rewrite should be approached in a top-down manner, with					
	the policy questions resolved first, and the implementation details developed					
	later.					
	(b) There exist aspects of the required policy which cannot be					
	addressed and resolved until we have decided what technologies and security					

environments we are dealing with. The basic differences were not resolved at this meeting, the decision being to give the membership an opportunity to review the draft of the model prior to determining the next step in the rewrite. If the presently proposed approach is approved, the next step will be to refine the wording of the model and expand it to cover other scenarios.

- 5. It was announced that Mr. Fred McNulty from Dept. of State will be that agency's representative to the subcommittee.
- 6. Mr. Studer informed the members of the scheduling of the next Army Automation Security Workshop on 8 9 December in Williamsburg, VA. The lectures and discussions will be unclassified.

20	7. The n	g of the	Subcommitte McLea	e was son, VA.	cheduled	for 0930	on
					Casmoton		
			EX	ecarive	Secretar	. y	

STAT

STAT